1 2	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SANFORD FELDMAN,
3	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 47775 P.O. Box 85266
4	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2079
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	·
7	
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 10-90-857 Against:) OAH No. L-9501173
12	Against:) OAH No. L-9501173) Lawrence N. Cooper, M.D.)
13	233 Lewis Street) STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT, San Diego, CA 92103) DECISION AND ORDER
14	Physician's and Surgeon's)
15	Certificate No. G27092)
16	Respondent.)
17	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties in
19	the above-entitled matter as follows:
20	1. Complainant Doug Laue is the Acting Executive
21	Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of
22	Consumer Affairs, State of California (hereinafter "Board"), and
23	is represented herein by Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General of
24	the State of California, by Sanford Feldman, Deputy Attorney
25	General.
26	2. Lawrence N. Cooper, M.D., (hereinafter
27	"respondent") is represented herein by Peter M. Bochnewich, Esq.

3. On or about July 1, 1974, respondent was issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G27092 by the Board. At all times mentioned herein said Certificate was, and now is, in full force and effect.

7.

1.2

- 4. On November 30, 1994, then complainant Dixon Arnett, in his then official capacity as Executive Director of the Board, filed Accusation No. 10-90-857 against respondent.
- 5. On or about November 30, 1994, respondent was served with a copy of Accusation No. 10-90-857, together with copies of all other statutorily required documents, at his address of record then on file with the Board: 233 Lewis Street, San Diego, CA 92103. Thereafter, on or about December 6, 1994, respondent timely filed a notice of defense. On September 2, 1995, an Amended Accusation was served. A copy of the Amended Accusation is attached hereto as Attachment "A" and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 6. Respondent has carefully read and fully understands the charges and allegations contained in the Amended Accusation in Case No. 10-90-857, and has fully reviewed same with his attorney of record, Peter M. Bochnewich, Esq.
- 7. Respondent has carefully read and fully understands the contents, force, and effect of this Stipulation in Settlement, Decision and Order, and he has fully reviewed same with his attorney of record, Peter M. Bochnewich, Esq.
- 8. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No. 10-90-857, his right to present witnesses and

evidence on his own behalf, his right to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against him, his rights to reconsideration, judicial review and appeal, and all other rights which may be accorded him with respect to this proceeding pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and the California Code of Civil Procedure, having been fully advised of same by his attorney of record, Peter M. Bochnewich, Esq.

- 9. Respondent, having the benefit of counsel, hereby freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in Amended Accusation No. 10-90-857, his right to present witnesses and evidence on his own behalf, his right to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against him, his right to reconsideration, judicial review, appeal, and all other rights which may be accorded him with respect to this proceeding pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- 10. Respondent, having the benefit of counsel, hereby freely, knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily agrees he does not contest the truth and accuracy of the charges and allegations set forth in paragraph 6B of the Amended Accusation attached hereto as Attachment A. Respondent's agreement not to contest is made for the sole purpose of settling Case No. 10-90-857, and shall have no force or effect in any other proceeding except:

 (1) any future proceeding between the Board and respondent; and/or (2) any action taken by any governmental body responsible for licensing and/or regulating physicians and surgeons.

Further, respondent stipulates that, should he: a) apply for modification or termination of probation; b) become involved in any other licensing action in this state or any other state; or, c) make application, in this state or any other state, for any kind of license involving the treatment or care of patients, the charges and allegations set forth in paragraph 6B of the Amended Accusation attached hereto as Attachment A shall be deemed admitted by respondent and shall be deemed true and correct for purposes of such proceedings or applications.

- 11. This Stipulation in Settlement, Decision and Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties.
- shall be subject to the approval of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California (hereinafter "Division"). If the Division fails, for any reason, to approve this Stipulation in Settlement, Decision and Order, it shall be of no force and effect for either party. To facilitate acceptance of this Stipulation in Settlement, Decision and Order, respondent agrees complaint, his staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division of Medical Quality, without notice to respondent or his counsel and in the absence of respondent or his counsel. Respondent further agrees such communication shall not disqualify the Division from further action in this matter.

WHEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following decision and order in Case No. 10-90-857:

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Pursuant to its authority under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227 and 2234, and based on the stipulations of respondent, including his agreement not to contest the truth and accuracy of the allegations and charges in paragraph 6(B) of the Amended Accusation, the Division hereby finds and determines that respondent engaged in an act in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234(b).

ORDER

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G27092, heretofore issued to respondent Lawrence N. Cooper, M.D., by the Board on July 1, 1974, is hereby revoked pursuant to the Determination of Issues, above, separately and for all of them. However, said revocation is hereby stayed and respondent is placed on probation for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of this decision on the following terms and conditions:

1. ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAMINATION: Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination in the diagnosis and treatment of cataracts, the medical indications for cataract surgery, and medical record-keeping relating to the foregoing, administered by the Division or its designee. This examination shall be taken within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision. If respondent fails the first examination,

respondent must take and pass a second examination which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three month. If respondent fails to pass the first and second examination, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. Respondent shall pay the cost of all examinations.

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until the re-examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notices to respondent from the Division or its designee.

2. EDUCATION COURSE: Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter throughout the period of probation, respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval an educational program to be designated by the Division or its designee, which shall not be less than forty (40) hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for sixty-five (65) hours of continuing medical education of which forty (40) hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division.

- 4. COST RECOVERY: Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$10,000.00 for its costs of investigation and prosecution, payable as follows: (1) \$5,000.00 within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision; and (2) \$5,000.00 within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the effective date of this decision. Failure to reimburse the Division's costs of its investigation shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his/her responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative costs.
- 5. OBEY ALL LAWS: Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules and regulations governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 6. QUARTERLY REPORTS: Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation.

26 ///

27 ///

7. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE:

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his or her addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

- 8. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u>: Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Division at the end of each fiscal year. Failure to pay such costs shall constitute a violation of probations.
- 9. INTERVIEW WITH DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS

 DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S): Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- 10. TOLLING OF PROBATION: In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing

27 ///

.24

medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed, i.e., revocation of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G27092. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

1	12. COMPLETION OF PROBATION: Upon successful
2	
3	restored.
4	
5	Dated: 9/2/95 Selow Feld
6	SANFORD FELDMAN Deputy Attorney General
7	Attorney for Complainant
8	
9	Dated: 92/95 _ Manue 1 Core
10	Lawrence N. Cooper, M.D. Respondent
11	
12	
13	Dated: Dept. 2, 1995 Litely. Bockrewer
14	Peter M. Bochnewich, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent
15.	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I, Lawrence N. Cooper, M.D., have read the above stipulation and, with the benefit of counsel, enter into it freely, voluntarily, intelligently and with full knowledge of its force and effect. By entering into this stipulation, I recognize that, upon formal acceptance by the Division, my license to practice medicine in California will be revoked, with said revocation being stayed, and I will be placed on probation on the above terms and conditions. I further recognize that, if I violate the terms or conditions of my probation in any respect, the Division, after giving me notice and opportunity to be heard, may carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed, i.e., the revocation of my license to practice medicine.

Dated:

Lawrence

Respondent

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER The attached Stipulation in Settlement and Decision is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in the above-entitled matter and shall become effective on the 29th day of December 1995. IT IS SO ORDERED this 29th day of November 1995. Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California cai\c:\stipulat\cooper.mbc.9/2/95

1 2	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SANFORD H. FELDMAN,
3	Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 47775 Department of Justice
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266
5	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2079
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. 10-90-857 Against:
12	LAWRENCE N. COOPER, M.D.) L-9501173
1.3	233 Lewis Street) San Diego, California 92103) <u>AMENDED ACCUSATION</u>
14	Physician & Surgeon's
15	No. G-27092) Respondent.)
16	
17	Complainant Doug Laue, as causes for disciplinary
18	action, alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Complainant is the Acting Executive Director of
21	the Medical Board of California ("Board") and makes and files
22	this Amended Accusation solely in his official capacity.
23	LICENSE STATUS
24	2. On or about July 1, 1974, Lawrence Nathan Cooper,
25	M.D. (hereinafter "respondent") was issued Physician's and
26	Surgeon's Certificate No. G27092. At all times herein, said
27	license was and currently is, in full force and effect.
28	Respondent is not a supervisor of a Physician's Assistant.

PREVIOUS DISCIPLINARY HISTORY

3. The Board has no record of any disciplinary action having been taken against Certificate No. G27092.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Amended Accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
- A. Section 2220 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may take action against all persons guilty of violating the provisions of Chapter 5 of Division 2 of that Code.
- B. Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
- C. Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct includes gross negligence.
- D. Section 125.3 provides that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Patient Alma H.
- A. On or about August 24, 1984, Alma H. was initially

examined by respondent, an ophthalmologist, on referral by her general physician, C.F., M.D. Dr. C.F. diagnosed Alma H. with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and referred her to respondent when Alma H. experienced blurred vision after taking the Plaquenil Dr. C.F. prescribed for the SLE.

- B. Respondent found Alma H.'s best correctable vision was somewhat below normal, that she had some misses on color vision testing, and multiple abnormalities on visual field testing. At that time, respondent did not ascribe these findings to manifestations of retinal toxicity from the Plaquenil, but wrote to Dr. C.F. that he would monitor the patient regarding Plaquenil.
- C. Respondent continued to see Alma H. on an ongoing basis over the next four years. At each visit respondent performed visual acuity testing, color vision assessments and computerized visual field examinations. However, over the years of treating Alma H., respondent's records fail to document the condition of the optic nerve, the appearance of the retina, or any evaluation of the pupils.
- D. Respondent's records show that Alma H.'s visual acuity intermittently deteriorated between 1984 and 1988. On or about December 14, 1984, respondent advised Alma H. to discontinue the use of Plaquenil because of a significant increase in the abnormality of her visual fields. Respondent determined that Alma H.'s vision had improved after stopping the Plaquenil.
- E. On or about April 23, 1987, respondent again ordered a discontinuation of the Plaquenil therapy due to a

- F. On or about November 28, 1988, respondent informed Alma H. that she had cataracts and scheduled Alma H.'s cataract surgery for December 21, 1988.
- G. Alma H. sought a second opinion from Dr. G.M.,
 M.D. Dr. G.M. examined Alma H. and determined that she did not
 have cataracts. Dr. G.M. advised Alma H. to cancel her surgery,
 and referred her to D.B., M.D., a retinologist.
- 6. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227 and 2234 as defined by section 2234(b) of the Code in that he is guilty of gross negligence as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- A. Paragraph 5 above is incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- B. Respondent is guilty of gross negligence in his care and treatment of patient Alma H. in that respondent misdiagnosed Alma H. as having cataracts.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that the Board hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G27092, heretofore issued to respondent
 Lawrence Nathan Cooper, M.D.;
- 2. Granting the Board its costs in the investigation and prosecution of this case; and,

Taking such other and further action as the Board 3. deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare. DATED: September 1, 1995 Doug Lave Acting Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant cai/c:\cooepr\amendeda.fnl